arXiv:0711.1091v1 [math-ph] 7 Nov 2007

Convergence to equilibrium distribution.

The Klein-Gordon equation coupled to a particle

T.V. Dudnikova [1]
Elektrostal Polytechnical Institute
Elektrostal 144000, Russia
e-mail: dudnik@elsite.ru

Abstract

We consider the Hamiltonian system consisting of a Klein-Gordon vector field and a particle in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The initial date of the system is a random function with a finite mean density of energy which also satisfies a Rosenblatt- or Ibragimov-type mixing condition. Moreover, initial correlation functions are translation-invariant. We study the distribution μ_t of the solution at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The main result is the convergence of μ_t to a Gaussian measure as $t \to \infty$, where μ_∞ is translation-invariant.

Key words and phrases: a Klein-Gordon vector field coupled to a particle; random initial data; mixing condition; correlation matrices; characteristic functional; convergence to statistical equilibrium.

AMS Subject Classification: 35L15, 60Fxx, 60Gxx, 82Bxx

---

[1] Partially supported by the research grant of RFBR (06-01-00086)

1 Introduction

The paper concerns problems of long-time convergence to an equilibrium distribution in a coupled system. We have proved the convergence for partial differential equations of hyperbolic type in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\ge 2$, in [1, 2, 3, 6]; for harmonic crystals in [4, 5], and for a scalar field coupled to a harmonic crystal in [7]. Here we treat a particle coupled to a Klein-Gordon or wave vector equation.

Let us outline our main result and the strategy of the proof. (For the formal definitions and statements, see Section 2.) Consider the Hamiltonian system consisting of a real-valued vector field $\varphi(x)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^3$, and a particle with position $q\in\mathbb{R}^3$. The Hamiltonian functional is

$$\begin{aligned} H(\varphi,q,\pi,p) &= \sum_{n=1}^{d}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\frac{|\nabla\varphi_n(x)|^2}{2}+\frac{m_n^2|\varphi_n(x)|^2}{2}+\frac{|\pi_n(x)|^2}{2}+\varphi_n(x)q\cdot\nabla\rho_n(x)\Big)\,dx \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}\Big(|p|^2+\omega^2|q|^2\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $m_n\ge 0$, $\omega>0$, $\varphi(x)=(\varphi_1(x),\dots,\varphi_d(x))\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and correspondingly for $\pi(x)$, " $\cdot$ " stands for the scalar product in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$. Taking formally variational derivatives in (1.1), the coupled dynamics becomes

$$\dot\varphi_n(x,t)=\pi_n(x,t),\quad \dot\pi_n(x,t)=(\triangle-m_n^2)\varphi_n(x,t)-q(t)\cdot\nabla\rho_n(x),\quad n=1,\dots,d, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\dot q(t)=p(t),\qquad \dot p(t)=-\omega^2q(t)-\sum_{n=1}^{d}\int\varphi_n(x,t)\nabla\rho_n(x)\,dx,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{1.3}$$

To state our main results we formulate some assumptions on a constant $\omega>0$ and a coupled function $\rho(x)=(\rho_1(x),\dots,\rho_d(x))$.

A1. The matrix $(\omega^2-m_*^2)I-K$ is positive definite, where $m_*=0$ if $m=(m_1,\dots,m_d)=0$ and $m_*=\min\{m_n: m_n\ne 0, n=1,\dots,d\}$ if $m\ne 0$, $K=(K_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ is the 3×3 matrix with the matrix elements K_{ij} ,

$$K_{ij}=\sum_{n=1}^{d}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{k_ik_j|\hat\rho_n(k)|^2}{k^2+m_n^2-m_*^2}\,dk.$$

A2. The function $\rho(x)$ is a vector real-valued smooth function, $\rho(-x)=\rho(x)$, $\rho(x)=0$ for $|x|\ge R_\rho$.

A3. For all $n=1,\dots,d$ and $k\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}$,

$$\hat\rho_n(k)=\int e^{ikx}\rho_n(x)\,dx\ne 0.$$

This assumption can be weakened (see Remark 7.6).

We study the Cauchy problem for the system (1.2)–(1.3) with initial conditions

$$\varphi(x,0)=\varphi^0(x),\quad \pi(x,0)=\pi^0(x),\quad q(0)=q^0,\quad p(0)=p^0. \tag{1.4}$$

Let us write $Y_0\equiv(\varphi^0(x),q^0,\pi^0(x),p^0)$, $Y(t)\equiv(\varphi(x,t),q(t),\pi(x,t),p(t))$. Then the system (1.2)–(1.4) writes as

$$\dot Y(t)=\mathcal{F}(Y(t)),\quad t\in\mathbb{R};\quad Y(0)=Y_0. \tag{1.5}$$

We assume that the initial date Y_0 is a random element of a real functional space $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of states with a finite local energy, see Definition 2.2 below. The distribution of Y_0 is a probability measure μ_0 of mean zero satisfying conditions **S1**–**S3**. In particular, the correlation functions of the measure μ_0 is translation-invariant. For a given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by μ_t the probability measure on $\mathcal{E}$ defining the distribution of the solution $Y(t)$ to the problem (1.5).

Our main result gives the weak convergence of the measures μ_t to a limit measure μ_∞,

$$\mu_t \rightharpoondown \mu_\infty, \quad t \to \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

The measure μ_∞ is a translation-invariant Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{E}$. Similar results hold as $t \to -\infty$ because the dynamics is time-reversible. Moreover, in Section 6.3 we prove the convergence of the correlation functions of the measures μ_t to a limit as $t \to \infty$.

We prove the convergence (1.6) by using the strategy of [1, 2] in two steps:
I. The family of measures μ_t, $t \ge 0$, is weakly compact in an appropriate Fréchet space.
II. The characteristic functionals converge to a Gaussian functional,

$$\hat{\mu}_t(Z) = \int \exp(i\langle Y, Z\rangle)\, \mu_t(dY) \to \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\}, \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.7}$$

where Z is an arbitrary element of the dual space and $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is the quadratic form defined by (2.17), $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the inner product in a real Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$ with different $N = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let us explain the main idea of the proof. At first we derive the decay of the order $(1 + |t|)^{-3/2}$ (and the exponential decay in the case when $m = 0$) for the local energy of the solution $Y(t)$ to (1.5) assuming that the initial date Y_0 has a compact support (see Proposition 4.1). Then we apply the integral representation (5.4) of $Y(t)$ and prove a uniform bound (5.1) for the mean local energy with respect to the measure μ_t, $t \ge 0$. Finally property **I** follows from the Prokhorov Theorem.

To prove of **II** we derive the asymptotic behavior of the solution $Y(t)$ (see Proposition 6.1), namely,

$$\langle Y(t), Z\rangle \sim \sum_{n=1}^{d} \langle W_n(t)(\varphi_n^0, \pi_n^0), \psi_n^Z\rangle, \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

where $W_n(t)$ is a solving operator to the Cauchy problem (2.9) for the free wave or Klein – Gordon equation, the functions ψ_n^Z are expressed by Z (see formula (2.13)). Then we apply the results of [1, 2], where the weak convergence of the statistical solutions is proved for free wave and Klein-Gordon equations.

2 Main results

2.1 Notation

We assume that the initial data Y_0 are given by an element of the real phase space $\mathcal{E}$ defined below.

Definition 2.1 *Let* $\mathcal{H} \equiv H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^0_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *be the Fréchet space of pairs* $\phi \equiv (\varphi(x), \pi(x))$ *with* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued functions* $\varphi(x)$ *and* $\pi(x)$*, which is endowed with the local energy seminorms*

$$\|\phi\|^2_R = \int\limits_{|x|<R} (|\varphi(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi(x)|^2 + |\pi(x)|^2) dx < \infty, \quad \forall R > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.2 *Let* $\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$ *be the Fréchet space of vectors* $Y \equiv (\phi(x), q, p)$*, with the local energy seminorms*

$$\|Y\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} = \|\phi\|^2_R + |q|^2 + |p|^2, \quad \forall R > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Now we formulate the following condition on ρ (cf. condition **A1**).
A1'. The matrix $\omega^2 I - K_0$ is positive definite, where $K_0 = (K_{0,ij})^3_{i,j=1}$ is the 3×3 matrix with the matrix elements $K_{0,ij}$,

$$K_{0,ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{k_i k_j |\hat{\rho}_n(k)|^2}{k^2 + m_n^2} dk.$$

Note that if $m = 0$ the conditions **A1** and **A1'** coincide. If $m \neq 0$, condition **A1'** is weaker than **A1**.

Proposition 2.3 *Let conditions* **A1'** *and* **A2** *hold. Then (i) for every* $Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}$*, the Cauchy problem (1.5) has a unique solution* $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E})$*.*
(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$*, the operator* $U(t) : Y_0 \mapsto Y(t)$ *is continuous on* $\mathcal{E}$*. Moreover, for every* $R > R_\rho$*,* $T > 0$*,*

$$\sup_{|t| \le T} \|U(t)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} \le C(T)\|Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R+T}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.3 is proved in Section 3.

Let us choose a function $\zeta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\zeta(0) \neq 0$. Denote by $H^s_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the local Sobolev spaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued functions φ, i.e., the Fréchet spaces of distributions $\varphi \in D'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the finite seminorms $\|\varphi\|_{s,R} := \|\Lambda^s\big(\zeta(x/R)\varphi\big)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, where $\Lambda^s\psi := F^{-1}_{k\to x}(\langle k\rangle^s \hat{\psi}(k))$, $\langle k\rangle := \sqrt{|k|^2+1}$, and $\hat{\psi}$ is the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution ψ.

Definition 2.4 *For* $s \in \mathbb{R}$ *denote* $\mathcal{H}^s \equiv H^{1+s}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^s_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$*,* $\mathcal{E}^s \equiv \mathcal{H}^s \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$*.*

Using standard techniques of pseudodifferential operators and Sobolev's Theorem (see, e.g., [8]), it is possible to prove that $\mathcal{E}^0 = \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and the embedding is compact.

2.2 Random solution. Convergence to equilibrium

Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space with expectation E and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the Borel σ-algebra in $\mathcal{E}$. We assume that $Y_0 = Y_0(\omega, x)$ in (1.5) is a measurable random function with values in $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$. In other words, $(\omega, x) \mapsto Y_0(\omega, x)$ is a measurable map $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+6}$ with respect to the (completed) σ-algebra $\Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2d+6})$. Then $Y(t) = U(t)Y_0$ is also a measurable random function with values in $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$ owing to Proposition 2.3. We denote by $\mu_0(dY_0)$ a Borel probability measure in $\mathcal{E}$ giving the distribution of Y_0. Without loss of generality, we assume $(\Omega, \Sigma, P) = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}), \mu_0)$ and $Y_0(\omega, x) = \omega(x)$ for $\mu_0(d\omega) \times dx$-almost all $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Definition 2.5 *μ_t is a Borel probability measure in $\mathcal{E}$ which gives the distribution of $Y(t)$:*

$$\mu_t(B) = \mu_0(U(-t)B), \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}), \;\; t \in \mathrm{I\!R}.$$

Our main objective is to prove the weak convergence of the measures μ_t in the Fréchet spaces $\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mu_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}} \mu_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

where μ_∞ is a limit measure on $\mathcal{E}$. This means the convergence

$$\int f(Y)\mu_t(dY) \to \int f(Y)\mu_\infty(dY) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

for any bounded continuous functional $f(Y)$ on $\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$.

Definition 2.6 *The correlation functions of measure μ_t are defined by*

$$Q_t(x,y) \equiv E\Big(Y(x,t) \otimes Y(y,t)\Big), \qquad \text{for almost all } x, y \in \mathrm{I\!R}^3,$$

if the expectations in the right hand side are finite.

We set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \oplus \mathrm{I\!R}^3 \oplus \mathrm{I\!R}^3$, $\mathcal{D}_0 := [C_0^\infty(\mathrm{I\!R}^3) \otimes \mathrm{I\!R}^d]^2$, and

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle := \langle \phi, \psi \rangle + q \cdot u + p \cdot v$$

for $Y = (\phi, q, p) \in \mathcal{E}$, and $Z = (\psi, u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$. For a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{E}$ denote by $\hat{\mu}$ the characteristic functional (Fourier transform)

$$\hat{\mu}(Z) \equiv \int \exp(i\langle Y, Z\rangle)\, \mu(dY), \;\; Z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

A measure μ is called Gaussian (with zero expectation) if its characteristic functional has the form

$$\hat{\mu}(Z) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(Z,Z)\}, \;\; Z \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a real nonnegative quadratic form in $\mathcal{D}$. A measure μ is called translation-invariant if

$$\mu(T_h B) = \mu(B), \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}), \quad h \in \mathrm{I\!R}^3,$$

where $T_h Y(x) = Y(x-h)$.

2.3 Main theorem

We assume that the initial measure μ_0 has the following properties **S0**–**S3**.
S0 μ_0 has zero expectation value, $EY_0(x) \equiv \int Y_0(x)\,\mu_0(dY_0) = 0$, $x \in \mathrm{I\!R}^3$.
S1 μ_0 has finite mean energy density, i.e.,

$$E\Big(|\varphi^0(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi^0(x)|^2 + |\pi^0(x)|^2 + |q^0|^2 + |p^0|^2\Big) < \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Denote by $\nu_0 := P\mu_0$, where $P : (\phi^0, q^0, p^0) \in \mathcal{E} \to \phi^0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

S2 The correlation functions of the measure ν_0 are translation invariant, i.e., for $n, n' \in \bar{d} = \{1, \dots, d\}$, $x, y \in \mathrm{I\!R}^3$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} E\Big(\varphi^0_n(x) \otimes \varphi^0_{n'}(y)\Big) := q^{00}_{0,nn'}(x-y), & E\Big(\varphi^0_n(x) \otimes \pi^0_{n'}(y)\Big) := q^{01}_{0,nn'}(x-y), \\ E\Big(\pi^0_n(x) \otimes \varphi^0_{n'}(y)\Big) := q^{10}_{0,nn'}(x-y), & E\Big(\pi^0_n(x) \otimes \pi^0_{n'}(y)\Big) := q^{11}_{0,nn'}(x-y). \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

Now we formulate the mixing condition for the measure ν_0.

Let $O(r)$ be the set of all pairs of open convex subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathrm{I\!R}^3$ at the distance not less than r, $d(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \geq r$, and let $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ be the σ-algebra in $\mathcal{H}$ generated by the linear functionals $\phi \mapsto \langle \phi, \psi \rangle$, where $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_0$ with $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset \mathcal{A}$. Define the Ibragimov mixing coefficient of a probability measure ν_0 on $\mathcal{H}$ by the rule (cf [9, Def. 17.2.2])

$$\varphi(r) \equiv \sup_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \in O(r)} \sup_{\substack{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}), B \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \\ \nu_0(B) > 0}} \frac{|\nu_0(A \cap B) - \nu_0(A)\nu_0(B)|}{\nu_0(B)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Definition 2.7 *We say that the measure ν_0 satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition if $\varphi(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.*

S3 The measure ν_0 satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition, and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r^2 \varphi^{1/2}(r)\, dr < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ddot{\varphi}(x,t) = (\Delta - m_n^2)\varphi(x,t), \quad t \in \mathrm{I\!R}, \\ \varphi(x,t)|_{t=0} = \varphi^0(x), \quad \dot{\varphi}(x,t)|_{t=0} = \pi^0(x), \quad x \in \mathrm{I\!R}^3, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.9}$$

where $m_n \geq 0$, $\varphi(x,t) \in \mathrm{I\!R}^1$. The following lemma is proved in [1, p.7], [2, p.1225].

Lemma 2.8 *(i) For any $\phi^0 = (\varphi^0, \pi^0) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \equiv H^1_{loc}(\mathrm{I\!R}^3) \oplus L^2_{loc}(\mathrm{I\!R}^3)$, there exists a unique solution $\phi(t) = (\varphi(x,t), \dot{\varphi}(x,t)) \in C(\mathrm{I\!R}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ to the Cauchy problem (2.9).*
(ii) For any $t \in \mathrm{I\!R}$, the operator $W_n(t) : \phi^0 \mapsto \phi(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{H}_1$.

We define the operator $W(t)$ on the space $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}_1]^d$ by the rule

$$W(t)(\phi^0_1, \dots, \phi^0_d) = (W_1(t)\phi^0_1, \dots, W_d(t)\phi^0_d). \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_n(x)$ be the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta + m_n^2$, $n \in \bar{d}$. For almost all $x, y \in \mathrm{I\!R}^3$, introduce the matrix-valued function $Q^\nu_\infty(x,y) = (q_{\infty,nn'}(x-y))^d_{n,n'=1}$, where

$$q_{\infty,nn'} = \Big(q^{ij}_{\infty,nn'}\Big)^1_{i,j=0} = \chi_{nn'} \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{00}_{0,nn'} + \mathcal{E}_n * q^{11}_{0,nn'} & q^{01}_{0,nn'} - \quad q^{10}_{0,nn'} \\ & \\ q^{10}_{0,nn'} - \quad q^{01}_{0,nn'} & q^{11}_{0,nn'} + (-\Delta + m_n^2) q^{00}_{0,nn'} \end{array} \right), \tag{2.11}$$

where $\chi_{nn'} = 1$ if $m_n = m_{n'}$, and $\chi_{nn'} = 0$ otherwise, the functions $q^{ij}_{0,nn'}$, $i, j = 0, 1$, are defined in (2.6); and $*$ stands for the convolution of distributions.

Remark 2.9 According to [9, Lemma 17.2.3], the derivatives $\partial^\alpha q^{ij}_{0,nn'}$ are bounded by mixing coefficient: $\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^3_+$ with $|\alpha|\le 2-i-j$ (including $\alpha=0$), $i,j=0,1$,

$$|\partial^\alpha q^{ij}_{0,nn'}(z)|\le C\varphi^{1/2}(|z|),\quad \forall z\in\mathbb{R}^3,\quad n,n'\in\bar d.$$

Therefore, $\partial^\alpha q^{ij}_{0,nn'}\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $p\ge 1$ (see [1, p.16]). Hence, $q^{ij}_{\infty,nn'}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if $m_n\ne 0$ by the bound (2.11). If $m_n=0$, (2.8) implies the existence of the convolution $\mathcal{E}_n * q^{11}_{0,nn'}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}^\nu_\infty(\psi,\psi)$ a real quadratic form on $\mathcal{S}_0\equiv[S(\mathbb{R}^3)\otimes\mathbb{R}^d]^2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}^\nu_\infty(\psi,\psi)=\langle Q^\nu_\infty(x,y),\psi(x)\otimes\psi(y)\rangle=\sum_{n,n'=1}^d\langle q_{\infty,nn'}(x-y),\psi_n(x)\otimes\psi_{n'}(y)\rangle. \tag{2.12}$$

The following result can be proved by an easy adaptation of the proof of Theorem B of [1, 2], where the result is proved in the case $d=1$.

Theorem 2.10 *Let conditions* **S0**–**S3** *hold. Then*
(i) the measures $\nu_t\equiv W(t)^*\nu_0$ weakly converge as $t\to\infty$ on the space $\mathcal{H}^{-\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon>0$.
(ii) The limit measure ν_∞ is a translation-invariant Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{H}$.
(iii) The characteristic functional of ν_∞ is of the form

$$\hat\nu_\infty(\psi)=\exp\Big\{-\frac12\mathcal{Q}^\nu_\infty(\psi,\psi)\Big\},\quad \psi\in\mathcal{S}_0.$$

Let $Z=(\psi,u,v)\in\mathcal{D}$, i.e., $\psi=(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_d)\in\mathcal{D}_0$, $(u,v)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Denote

$$\psi^Z=(\psi^Z_1,\dots,\psi^Z_d),\ \ \psi^Z_k:=\psi_k(x)-\sum_{n=1}^d\theta_{kn}(x)+\alpha_k(x)\cdot u+\beta_k(x)\cdot v,\ \ k\in\bar d. \tag{2.13}$$

Here $\alpha_k=(\alpha^1_k,\alpha^2_k,\alpha^3_k)$, $\beta_k=(\beta^1_k,\beta^2_k,\beta^3_k)$,

$$\alpha^i_k\equiv\alpha^i_k(x) = -\sum_{r=1}^d\int_0^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)W'_k(-s)\begin{pmatrix}\nabla_r\rho_k(x)\\0\end{pmatrix}ds, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\beta^i_k\equiv\beta^i_k(x) = -\sum_{r=1}^d\int_0^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)W'_k(-s)\begin{pmatrix}0\\\nabla_r\rho_k(x)\end{pmatrix}ds, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\theta_{kn}(x):=\sum_{i=1}^3\int_0^{+\infty}W'_k(-s)\alpha^i_k(x)\,\langle W_n(s)\mathcal{R}_{in},\psi_n\rangle\,ds,\quad \mathcal{R}_{in}:=\begin{pmatrix}0\\\nabla_i\rho_n\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.16}$$

the matrix $\mathcal{N}(s)=(\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s))^3_{i,r=1}$ is defined in (4.17), the operator $W'_n(t)$ is adjoint to the operator $W_n(t)$:

$$\langle\phi,W'_n(t)\psi\rangle=\langle W_n(t)\phi,\psi\rangle,\quad \psi\in[S(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2,\ \ \phi\in\mathcal{H}_1,\ \ t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)$ a real quadratic form in $\mathcal{D}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)=\mathcal{Q}^\nu_\infty(\psi^Z,\psi^Z), \tag{2.17}$$

where ψ^Z is defined in (2.13). Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and* **S0**–**S3** *hold. Then*
(i) the convergence in (2.4) holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$ *.*
(ii) The limit measure μ_∞ *is a Gaussian equilibrium measure on* $\mathcal{E}$ *.*
(iii) The limit characteristic functional has the form

$$\hat{\mu}_\infty(Z) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\}, \quad Z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

(iv) The measure μ_∞ *is invariant, i.e.,* $U(t)^*\mu_\infty = \mu_\infty$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *.*

Remark 2.12 Instead of the *strong uniform* Ibragimov mixing condition, it suffices to assume the *uniform* Rosenblatt mixing condition [13] together with a higher degree (> 2) in the bound (2.5), i.e., to assume that there exists a δ , $\delta > 0$, such that

$$E\left(|\varphi^0(x)|^{2+\delta} + |\nabla\varphi^0(x)|^{2+\delta} + |\pi^0(x)|^{2+\delta} + |q^0|^2 + |p^0|^2\right) < \infty.$$

In this case, the condition (2.8) needs the following modification: $\int_0^{+\infty} r^2\alpha^p(r)dr < \infty$, where $p = \min(\delta/(2+\delta), 1/2)$, $\alpha(r)$ is the Rosenblatt mixing coefficient defined as in (2.7) but without $\nu_0(B)$ in the denominator.

3 Existence of solutions, a priori estimates

In this section we prove Proposition 2.3 by the similar arguments as in [10, Lemma 6.3].

Let us represent the solution $Y(t)$ as the pair of the functions $(Y^0(t), Y^1(t))$, where $Y^0(t) = (\varphi(t), q(t))$, $Y^1(t) = (\pi(t), p(t))$.

Denote by $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the Sobolev space of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued functions. Let E be the Hilbert space of pairs $Y = (Y^0, Y^1)$, where $Y^0 = (\varphi, q) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$, $Y^1 = (\pi, p) \in H^0(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$, with the finite norm $\|Y\|_E^2 := \sum\limits_{n=1}^{d}\left(\|\nabla\varphi_n\|^2 + m_n^2\|\varphi_n\|^2 + \|\pi_n\|^2\right) + |q|^2 + |p|^2$. Here $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm in $H^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Now we prove the auxilary lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let conditions* **A1'** *and* **A2** *hold. Then*
(i) for every $Y_0 \in E$ *, the Cauchy problem (1.5) has a unique solution* $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, E)$ *.*
(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *, the operator* $U(t) : Y_0 \mapsto Y(t)$ *is continuous on* E *.*
(iii) The energy is conserved and finite,

$$H(Y(t)) = H(Y_0) \ \text{for} \ \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. *Step (i)* In the case when $\rho = 0$ the existence and uniqueness of solution $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, E)$ to the problem (1.5) is proved by Fourier transform. Therefore the problem (1.5) for $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, E)$ is equivalent to

$$Y(t) = e^{\mathcal{A}_0 t}Y_0 + \int\limits_0^t e^{\mathcal{A}_0(t-s)}BY(s)\,ds, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\mathcal{H}_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_0 Y^0 = ((-\Delta + m_1^2)\varphi_1, \ldots, (-\Delta + m_d^2)\varphi_d, \omega^2 q), \\ B(Y^0, Y^1) = (0, RY^0), \quad RY^0 := -\Big(q\cdot\nabla\rho_1, \ldots, q\cdot\nabla\rho_d, \sum\limits_{n=1}^{d} \int \varphi_n(x)\nabla\rho_n(x)\,dx\Big) \end{array}\right| \qquad (3.3)$$

for $Y^0 = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_d, q)$. Note that $\|e^{\mathcal{A}_0 t} Y_0\|_E \le C\|Y_0\|_E$; and the second term in (3.2) is estimated by

$$\sup_{|t|\le T} \|\int\limits_0^t e^{\mathcal{A}_0(t-s)} BY(s)\,ds\|_E \le C\,T \sup_{|s|\le T} \|Y(s)\|_E.$$

This bound and the contraction mapping principle imply the existence and uniqueness of the local solution $Y(t) \in C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], E)$ with an $\varepsilon > 0$.

Step (ii) To prove (3.1) let us assume that $\phi_n^0 = (\varphi_n^0, \pi_n^0) \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\phi_n^0(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge R_0$, $n \in \bar{d}$. Then $\varphi_n(x,t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\varphi_n(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \ge |t| + \max\{R_0, R_\rho\}$$

by the integral representation (3.2) and condition **A2**. Therefore, for such initial data, the equality (3.1) can be proved by integration by parts. Hence the energy conservation (3.1) follows from the continuity of $U(t)$ and the fact that $[C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^d \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus [C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^d \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$ is dense in E.

Step (iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\varphi_n(x)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_n^2\|\varphi_n(x)\|^2 + \langle\varphi_n(x), q\cdot\nabla\rho_n(x)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3}\Big(\Big\|\sqrt{k^2+m_n^2}\,\hat{\varphi}_n(k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2+m_n^2}}iq\cdot k\hat{\rho}_n(k)\Big\|^2 - \Big\|\frac{q\cdot k}{\sqrt{k^2+m_n^2}}\hat{\rho}_n(k)\Big\|^2\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Hamiltonian functional is nonnegative, since

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{d}\Big\{\|\pi_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\|\sqrt{k^2+m_n^2}\,\hat{\varphi}_n(k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2+m_n^2}}iq\cdot k\hat{\rho}_n(k)\|^2\Big\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\omega^2|q|^2 - q\cdot K_0 q\Big) + \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 \ge 0 \end{aligned} \qquad (3.4)$$

by condition **A1'**. Moreover, by (3.1) and (3.4), for $|t| < \varepsilon$, we obtain that

$$\|Y(t)\|_E^2 \le C\,H(Y(t)) = C\,H(Y_0).$$

On the other hand, for $Y_0 = (\varphi^0, \pi^0, q^0, p^0)$,

$$H(Y_0) \le \sum_{n=1}^{d}\Big(\|\nabla\varphi_n^0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_n^2\|\varphi_n^0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\pi_n^0\|^2\Big) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega^2 + \|\rho\|^2)|q^0|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|p^0|^2. \qquad (3.5)$$

Hence, we obtain the *a priori* estimate

$$\|Y(t)\|_E \le C_1\|Y_0\|_E \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \qquad (3.6)$$

Therefore, properties (i)–(iii) of Lemma 3.1 for arbitrary $t \in \mathbb{R}$ follow from the bound (3.6). ■

We return to the proof of Proposition 2.3. Let us choose $R > R_\rho$ with R_ρ from condition **A2**. Then by the integral representation (3.2) the solution $Y(t)$ for $|x| < R$ depends only on the initial data $Y_0(x)$ with $|x| < R + |t|$. Thus the continuity of $U(t)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ follows from the continuity in E.

For every $R > 0$ we define the local energy seminorms by

$$\|Y\|^2_{E(R)} := \sum_{n=1}^{d} \int\limits_{|x|<R} \left(|\nabla\varphi_n(x)|^2 + m_n^2 |\varphi_n(x)|^2 + |\pi_n(x)|^2 \right) dx + |q|^2 + |p|^2 \tag{3.7}$$

for $Y = (\varphi, \pi, q, p)$. By the estimate (3.6), we obtain the following local energy estimates:

$$\|U(t)Y_0\|^2_{E(R)} \le C \|Y_0\|^2_{E(R+|t|)}, \tag{3.8}$$

for $R > R_\rho$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the bound (2.3) follows from (3.8). ■

4 Decay of local energy

Proposition 4.1 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold and let* $Y_0 \in E$ *be such that*

$$\varphi^0(x) = \pi^0(x) = 0 \ \textit{for} \ |x| > R_1, \tag{4.1}$$

with some $R_1 > 0$. *Then there exists a constant* $C = C(R, R_1) > 0$ *such that the following bound holds for every* $R > 0$,

$$\|Y(t)\|_{\mathcal{E},R} \le C \varepsilon_m(t) \|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_1}, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_m(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} e^{-\delta|t|} \quad \textit{with a } \delta > 0, & \textit{if } m = 0 \\ (1+|t|)^{-3/2}, & \textit{if } m \ne 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{4.3}$$

In the case when $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) = 0$ Proposition 4.1 is extension of Proposition 7.1 from [10], where a similar result is established in the case when $d = 1$ and $\rho(x) = \rho_r(|x|)$. In the case when $m \ne 0$ we apply the methods of [11].

To prove Proposition 4.1 we solve the Cauchy problem (1.5) applying the Fourier - Laplace transform,

$$\tilde{Y}(\lambda) = \int\limits_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} Y(t)\, dt, \quad \mathrm{Re}\,\lambda > 0.$$

Then the system (1.2)–(1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi_n^0(x) + \lambda\tilde{\varphi}_n(x,\lambda) &= \tilde{\pi}_n(x,\lambda), \quad n = 1, \dots, d, & (4.4)\\ -\pi_n^0(x) + \lambda\tilde{\pi}_n(x,\lambda) &= (\Delta - m_n^2)\tilde{\varphi}_n(x,\lambda) - \tilde{q}(\lambda) \cdot \nabla\rho_n(x), & (4.5)\\ -q^0 + \lambda\tilde{q}(\lambda) &= \tilde{p}(\lambda), & (4.6)\\ -p^0 + \lambda\tilde{p}(\lambda) &= -\omega^2\tilde{q}(\lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^{d} \int \tilde{\varphi}_n(y,\lambda)\nabla\rho_n(y)\, dy. & (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

From (4.4)–(4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\tilde{\varphi}_n(x,\lambda) &=& (-\Delta+m_n^2+\lambda^2)^{-1}\Big(\lambda\varphi_n^0+\pi_n^0(x)\Big)-(-\Delta+m_n^2+\lambda^2)^{-1}\nabla\rho_n(x)\cdot\tilde{q}(\lambda),\\ (\lambda^2+\omega^2)\tilde{q}(\lambda) &=& H(\lambda)\tilde{q}(\lambda)+\mathcal{R}(\lambda,Y_0),\end{array}\tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda,Y_0):=-\sum_{n=1}^{d}\int(-\Delta+m_n^2+\lambda^2)^{-1}\Big(\pi_n^0(y)+\lambda\varphi_n^0(y)\Big)\nabla\rho_n(y)\,dy+\Big(p^0+\lambda q^0\Big),\tag{4.9}$$

and $H(\lambda)$ is the 3×3 matrix with the matrix elements $H_{ij}(\lambda)$,

$$H_{ij}(\lambda) \;=\; \sum_{n=1}^{d}\int\nabla_i\rho_n(y)(-\Delta+m_n^2+\lambda^2)^{-1}\nabla_j\rho_n(y)\,dy.\tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, equation (4.8) rewrites as

$$\tilde{q}(\lambda)=\Big[(\lambda^2+\omega^2)I-H(\lambda)\Big]^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\lambda,Y_0)\equiv\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)\mathcal{R}(\lambda,Y_0),\tag{4.11}$$

where by $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$ we denote a 3×3 matrix of the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)=D^{-1}(\lambda),\quad D(\lambda):=(\lambda^2+\omega^2)I-H(\lambda)\ \text{ for }\ \operatorname{Re}\lambda>0.\tag{4.12}$$

4.1 Time decay for $q(t)$ and $p(t)$

In this subsection we prove the exponential decay for $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ in the case when $m=0$. The case $m\neq0$ is considered in Appendix.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $m=0$, conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and (4.1) hold. Then there exists a $\delta>0$ such that the following bound holds*

$$|q(t)|+|p(t)|\le Ce^{-\delta t}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_1}.\tag{4.13}$$

To prove Theorem 4.2 we first investigate the properties of the matrix $D(\lambda)$.
Denote $\mathbb{C}_\beta:=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:\operatorname{Re}\lambda>\beta\}$ for $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $m=0$ and conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then (i) $D(\lambda)$ admits an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$; (ii) for every $\beta>0$ $\exists N_\beta>0$ such that $v\cdot D(\lambda)v\ge C|v|^2|\lambda|^2$ for $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_{-\beta}$ with $|\lambda|\ge N_\beta$ and every $v\in\mathbb{R}^3$. (iii) There exists a $\delta>0$ such that $v\cdot D(\lambda)v\neq0$ for $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{-\delta}$ and for every $v\neq0$.*

Lemma 4.3 is a modification of Lemma 7.2 of [10], where the result is proved in the case when $d=1$ and $\rho(x)=\rho_r(|x|)$.
Proof. (i) We rewrite the entries of the matrix $H(\lambda)$ as

$$H_{ij}(\lambda)=\sum_{n=1}^{d}\int\int\nabla_i\rho_n(y)\frac{e^{-\lambda|y-z|}}{4\pi|y-z|}\nabla_j\rho_n(z)\,dydz.\tag{4.14}$$

Hence, $H_{ij}(\lambda)$ is defined and has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore property (i) follows.

(ii) From (4.14) it follows that

$$H_{ij}(\lambda) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |\lambda| \to \infty \quad \text{with } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-\beta} \tag{4.15}$$

what implies (ii).

(iii) At first note that the matrix $D(\lambda)$ is positive definite if $\operatorname{Im}\lambda = 0$. Indeed, from condition **A1** it follows that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} v \cdot D(\lambda) v &= (\lambda^2 + \omega^2)|v|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{(v \cdot k)^2}{k^2 + \lambda^2} |\hat{\rho}_n(k)|^2 \, dk \\ &\geq \omega^2 |v|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{(v \cdot k)^2}{k^2} |\hat{\rho}_n(k)|^2 \, dk > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, we find

$$H_{ij}(iy+0) = \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{k_i k_j |\hat{\rho}_n(k)|^2}{k^2 - (y - i0)^2} dk = \int\limits_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^4 g_{ij}(r)}{(r - y + i0)(r + y - i0)} \, dr,$$

where

$$g_{ij}(r) := \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{|\theta|=1} \theta_i \theta_j |\hat{\rho}_n(r\theta)|^2 \, dS_\theta.$$

Note that $g_{ij} \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$, $\max\limits_{r \in [0,\infty)} (1+r)^N |g_{ij}(r)| < \infty$ for every $N > 0$ by condition **A2**. Applying the Plemelj formula (see [12]) and condition **A3** we obtain

$$v \cdot \operatorname{Im} H(iy+0) v = -\pi \frac{y^3}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{|\theta|=1} (v \cdot \theta)^2 |\hat{\rho}_n(|y|\theta)|^2 \, dS_\theta \neq 0 \tag{4.16}$$

for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $v \cdot \operatorname{Im} D(iy+0) v = -v \cdot \operatorname{Im} H(iy+0) v \neq 0$. Lemma 4.3 is proved. ■

Denote by $\mathcal{N}(t)$ an inverse Laplace transformation of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{-i\infty - \delta}^{i\infty - \delta} e^{\lambda t} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda) \, d\lambda \quad \text{for } t > 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Lemma 4.4 *Let* $m = 0$ *and conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then for* $j = 0, 1, \ldots,$

$$|\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(t)| \leq C e^{-\delta t}, \quad t > 1. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 the bound on $\mathcal{N}(t)$ follows. To prove the bound for $\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)$ we consider $\lambda \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$ and prove the following bound:

$$\left| v \cdot (\lambda \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda))' v \right| \leq \frac{C\,|v|^2}{1 + |\lambda|^2}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{-\delta}. \tag{4.19}$$

This implies that $\max\limits_{t\in[0,+\infty)}|te^{\delta t}\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)|<\infty$, and the bound (4.18) for $\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)$ follows. To prove (4.19) it suffices to establish that $|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{ij}(\lambda)|\le C(1+|\lambda|)^{-3}$. From (4.14) it follows that

$$H'_{ij}(\lambda)\to 0 \quad \text{as } |\lambda|\to\infty \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}_{-\delta}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 4.3 and (4.12) imply that, for $i,j=1,2,3$,

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{ij}(\lambda)|\le\frac{C}{1+|\lambda|^4}\Big(\sum_{k,l=1}^{3}|D'_{kl}(\lambda)|\Big)\le\frac{C_1}{1+|\lambda|^3} \quad \text{as } |\lambda|\to\infty.$$

This implies (4.19). The bound (4.18) with $j\ge 2$ is proved similarly. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Denote by $\varphi_n^1(t)\equiv\varphi_n^1(x,t)$ the solution of the Cauchy problem (2.9) with the initial data $\phi_n^0=(\varphi_n^0,\pi_n^0)$. Then (4.9) and (4.11) imply that for $t>0$

$$q(t)=I(t,\phi^0)+R(t,q^0,p^0), \tag{4.20}$$

where $\phi^0=(\phi_1^0,\dots,\phi_d^0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I(t,\phi^0) &:= -\sum_{n=1}^{d}\int_0^t\langle\varphi_n^1(t-s),\mathcal{N}(s)\nabla\rho_n\rangle\,ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{d}\int_0^t\langle\mathcal{N}(s)\nabla\varphi_n^1(t-s),\rho_n\rangle\,ds, \qquad (4.21) \\ R(t,q^0,p^0) &:= \mathcal{N}(t)p^0+\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)q^0. \qquad (4.22) \end{aligned}$$

First, by the bound (4.18) we have

$$|R(t,q^0,p^0)|\le Ce^{-\delta t}(|q^0|+|p^0|). \tag{4.23}$$

Secondly, since $m=0$,

$$W_n(t)\phi_n^0=0 \quad \text{for } x\in B_R \quad \text{and} \quad t>R+R_1. \tag{4.24}$$

due to the condition (4.1) and the strong Huygens principle. Hence, from (4.18) and (4.21) we obtain (see (3.6)) that, for $t>R_1+R_\rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I(t,\phi^0)| &\le \sum_{n=1}^{d}C(\rho_n)\int_0^t|\mathcal{N}(s)|\|\nabla\varphi_n^1(t-s)\|_{L^2(B_{R_\rho})}\,ds \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{d}C_1\int_{t-R_1-R_\rho}^{t}e^{-\delta s}\|\phi_n^0\|_{R_1}\,ds\le Ce^{-\delta t}\|\phi^0\|_{R_1}. \qquad (4.25) \end{aligned}$$

Relations (4.20), (4.23) and (4.25) imply the bound (4.13) for $q(t)$. The bound for $p(t)$ is proved similarly. ■

4.2 Time decay of field components

Now we finish the proof of Proposition 4.1. From equations (1.2) we have

$$\phi_n(x,t) \;=\; W_n(t)\phi_n^0-\int\limits_0^t W_n(t-s)\left(\begin{array}{c}0\\ \nabla\rho_n(x)\end{array}\right)\cdot q(s)\,ds,\;\; n\in\bar{d}. \qquad (4.26)$$

Let $m_n=0$. Then the bound (4.24), the condition (4.1) and Theorem 4.2 imply

$$\|\phi_n(t)\|_R\le C\int\limits_{t-R_\rho-R}^{t} e^{-\delta s}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_1}\,ds\le Ce^{-\delta t}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_1}$$

for $t>R+\max\{R_\rho,R_1\}$. Let $m_n\neq 0$. Then instead of (4.24) we apply the following well-known bound:

$$\|W_n(t)\phi_n^0\|_R\le C(1+t)^{-3/2}\|\phi_n^0\|_{R_1},\quad t\ge 0.$$

Hence Theorem 7.1 and the representation (4.26) yield

$$\|\phi_n(t)\|_R\le C(1+t)^{-3/2}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_1}.$$

The bound (4.2) is proved. ■

5 Compactness of measures μ_t

Lemma 5.1 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and* **S0**–**S2** *hold. Then*

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}E\|U(t)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R}\le C(R)<\infty,\;\;\forall R>0. \qquad (5.1)$$

Proof Let us write $U_0(t)=e^{\mathcal{A}_0 t}$ (see (3.3)). At first, note that

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}E\|U_0(t)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R}\le C(R),\;\;\forall R>0. \qquad (5.2)$$

Indeed (see (2.1) and (2.2)),

$$\|U_0(t)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R}=\|W(t)\phi^0\|^2_R+|q_0(t)|^2+|\dot q_0(t)|^2,$$

where the operator $W(t)$ is defined in (2.10) and $q_0(t)$ is a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\ddot q_0(t)+\omega^2 q_0(t)=0,\;\; t\in\mathbb{R},\quad (q_0(t),\dot q_0(t))|_{t=0}=(q^0,p^0).$$

Hence, $|q_0(t)|+|\dot q_0(t)|\le C(|q^0|+|p^0|)$. From [1, Proposition 3.2] and [2, Proposition 3.1] it follows that

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}E\|W(t)\phi^0\|^2_R=\sup_{t\ge 0}\sum_{n=1}^{d}E\|W_n(t)\phi_n^0\|^2_R\le C(R),\;\;\forall R>0. \qquad (5.3)$$

It implies the bound (5.2). Further, we represent the solution to (1.5) as follows

$$U(t)Y_0 = U_0(t)Y_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)BU_0(s)Y_0\,ds, \tag{5.4}$$

where the operator B is defined in (3.3). Hence, (4.2) and (5.2) yield

$$\begin{aligned} E\|U(t)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} &\le E\|U_0(t)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} + E\int_0^t \|U(t-s)BU_0(s)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R}\,ds \\ &\le C(R) + \int_0^t \varepsilon_m^2(t-s)E\|U_0(s)Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R_\rho}\,ds \le C_1(R) < \infty. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

6 Convergence of characteristic functionals

6.1 Asymptotic behavior of $Y(t)$

Proposition 6.1 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and* **S0**–**S3** *hold. Then*

(i) the following bounds hold,

$$E|q_i(t) - \sum_{k=1}^d \langle W_k(t)\phi_k^0, \alpha_k^i\rangle|^2 \le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t), \tag{6.1}$$

$$E|p_i(t) - \sum_{k=1}^d \langle W_k(t)\phi_k^0, \beta_k^i\rangle|^2 \le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t), \quad t > 1, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\tilde\varepsilon_m(t) = e^{-2\delta t}$, *if* $m = 0$, *and* $\tilde\varepsilon_m(t) = (1+t)^{-1}$ *otherwise, the functions* α_k^i, β_k^i *are defined in (2.14) and (2.15),* $i = 1, 2, 3$.

(ii) Let $\psi \in [C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ *with* $\operatorname{supp}\psi \subset B_R$. *Then, for* $n \in \bar d$ *and* $t \ge 1$,

$$E\Big|\langle \phi_n(t), \psi\rangle - \Big\langle W_n(t)\phi_n^0, \psi\Big\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^d \Big\langle W_k(t)\phi_k^0, \theta_{kn}\Big\rangle\Big|^2 \le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t), \tag{6.3}$$

where the functions $\theta_{kn}(x)$, $k, n \in \bar d$, *are defined in (2.16) with* $\psi_n = \psi$.

Proof. (i) At first, relations (4.20)–(4.22), the bounds (4.23) and (7.11) yield

$$E\Big|q_i(t) + \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{r=1}^3 \int_0^t \Big\langle \varphi_k^1(t-s), \mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\nabla_r\rho_k\Big\rangle\,ds\Big|^2 \le C\varepsilon_m^2(t) \tag{6.4}$$

with $\varepsilon_m(t)$ from (4.3). Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left|\int_t^{+\infty} \Big\langle \varphi_k^1(t-s), \mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\nabla_r\rho_k\Big\rangle\,ds\right|^2 \\ &= \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_{ir}(s_1)\,ds_1 \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_{ir}(s_2)E\Big(\langle\varphi_k^1(t-s_1), \nabla_r\rho_k\rangle\langle\varphi_k^1(t-s_2), \nabla_r\rho_k\rangle\Big)\,ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|E\left(\langle\varphi_k^1(t-s_1),\nabla_r\rho_k\rangle\langle\varphi_k^1(t-s_2),\nabla_r\rho_k\rangle\right)\Big| &\le C\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} E|\langle\varphi_k^1(\tau),\nabla_r\rho_k\rangle|^2\\ &\le C_1\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} E\|\varphi_k^1(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(B_{R_\rho})}\le C_2<\infty\end{aligned}$$

by the bound (5.3). Hence, applying the bounds (4.18) and (7.11) we obtain that

$$E\left|\int\limits_t^{+\infty}\langle\varphi_k^1(t-s),\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\nabla_r\rho_k\rangle\,ds\right|^2\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t). \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore, (6.1) follows from (6.4), (6.5) and (2.14), since

$$\Big\langle\varphi_k^1(t-s),\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\nabla_r\rho_k\Big\rangle=\Big\langle W_k(t)\phi_k^0,W_k'(-s)\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\nabla_r\rho_k\\0\end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle.$$

The bound (6.2) can be proved by similar way.

(ii) Let $\psi\in[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with $\operatorname{supp}\psi\subset B_R$. From (4.26) it follows that

$$\langle\phi_n(t),\psi\rangle=\langle W_n(t)\phi_n^0,\psi\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^3\int\limits_0^t q_i(t-s)\,\langle W_n(s)\mathcal{R}_{in},\psi\rangle\,ds,\quad \mathcal{R}_{in}\equiv\begin{pmatrix}0\\\nabla_i\rho_n\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that

$$\langle W_n(s)\mathcal{R}_{in},\psi\rangle=\begin{cases}0\ \text{ for }\ s>R_\rho+R & \text{if }\ m_n=0,\\ \mathcal{O}((1+s)^{-3/2}) & \text{if }\ m_n\ne0.\end{cases} \tag{6.7}$$

Then from (6.1) and (6.7) it follows that

$$E\left|\int\limits_0^t\Big(q_i(t-s)-\sum_{k=1}^d\langle W_k(t-s)\phi_k^0,\alpha_k^i\rangle\Big)\,\langle W_n(s)\mathcal{R}_{in},\psi\rangle\,ds\right|^2\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t). \tag{6.8}$$

Denote

$$I_n(t)\ \ :=\ \ E\Big|\int\limits_t^\infty\sum_{k=1}^d\langle W_k(t-s)\phi_k^0,\alpha_k^i\rangle\langle W_n(s)\mathcal{R}_{in},\psi\rangle\,ds\Big|^2.$$

If $m_n=0$, $I_n(t)=0$ for $t>R_\rho+R$ by (6.7). If $m_n\ne0$,

$$|I_n(t)|\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t). \tag{6.9}$$

It follows from the following estimate: for $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$E|\langle W_k(\tau)\phi_k^0,\alpha_k^i\rangle|^2=E\Big|\sum_{r=1}^d\int\limits_0^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\Big\langle W_k(\tau-s)\phi_k^0,\begin{pmatrix}\nabla_r\rho_k\\0\end{pmatrix}\Big\rangle\,ds\Big|^2\le C<\infty,$$

by (5.3) and (4.18) and (7.11). The relation (6.6) and the bounds (6.8) and (6.9) imply the bound (6.3). ■

Corollary 6.2 *Let $Z=(\psi,u,v)\in\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_0\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Then*

$$\langle Y(t),Z\rangle=\langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle+r(t),$$

where $\langle Y(t),Z\rangle=\langle\phi(t),\psi\rangle+q(t)\cdot u+p(t)\cdot v$, $Y(t)=(\phi(t),q(t),p(t))$ is a solution to the Cauchy problem (1.5), the function ψ^Z is defined in (2.13) and $E|r(t)|^2\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t)$.

6.2 The end of the proof of Theorem 2.11

Proposition 6.3 *Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.11 be fulfilled. Then, for* $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ *,*

$$E\exp\{i\langle Y(t),Z\rangle\} \to \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\right\}, \ \ t\to\infty.$$

Proof. By triangle inequality we have

$$\Big|Ee^{i\langle Y(t),Z\rangle} - \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\}\Big| \le \Big|E\Big(e^{i\langle Y(t),Z\rangle} - e^{i\langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle}\Big)\Big|$$
$$+\Big|Ee^{i\langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle} - \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\}\Big|. \qquad (6.10)$$

The first term in the RHS of (6.10) is estimated by

$$\Big|E\Big(e^{i\langle Y(t),Z\rangle} - e^{i\langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle}\Big)\Big| \le E\Big|\langle Y(t),Z\rangle - \langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle\Big|$$
$$\le E|r(t)| \le \Big(E|r(t)|^2\Big)^{1/2} \le C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{1/2}(t) \to 0 \quad \text{as } t\to\infty, \qquad (6.11)$$

by Corollary 6.2. It remains to prove the convergence of $E\exp\{i\langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle\} \equiv \hat{\nu}_t(\psi^Z)$ to a limit as $t\to\infty$. In [1, 2] we proved the convergence of $\hat{\nu}_t(\psi)$ to a limit for $\psi\in\mathcal{D}_0$. However, generally, $\psi^Z \notin \mathcal{D}_0$. Now we introduce a space H_m such that $\psi^Z\in H_m$ and the characteristic functionals $\hat{\nu}_t(\psi)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, are equicontinuous in H_m.

Denote $\omega_n(k) = (|k|^2+m_n^2)^{1/2}$, $n\in\bar{d}$.

Definition 6.4 H_m *is the space of the pairs* $\psi=(\psi^0,\psi^1)$ *of* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued functions* $\psi^0 = (\psi^0_1,\dots,\psi^0_d)$ *and* $\psi^1=(\psi^1_1,\dots,\psi^1_d)$*, such that* ψ^0_n*,* $\omega_n^{-1}\hat{\psi}^0_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$*,* $\psi^1_n\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$*,* $n\in\bar{d}$*, with the finite norm*

$$\|\psi\|_m^2 := \sum_{n=1}^d \Big(\|\psi^0_n\|^2 + \|\omega_n^{-1}(k)\hat{\psi}^0_n(k)\|^2 + \|\psi^1_n\|^2 + \|\nabla\psi^1_n\|^2\Big).$$

The formulas (2.13)–(2.16) and condition **A2** imply that the functions ψ^Z satisfying the bound $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|k|)^N|\hat{\psi}^Z(k)| < \infty$ for every $N>0$, and $\psi^Z\in H_m$. Note that if all $m_n\ne 0$, $H_m = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 6.5 *(i) The quadratic form* $\mathcal{Q}^\nu_t(\psi,\psi) = \int |\langle\phi^0,\psi\rangle|^2\nu_t(d\phi^0)$*,* $t\in\mathbb{R}$*, are equicontinuous in* H_m*.*
(ii) The characteristic functionals $\hat{\nu}_t(\psi)$*,* $t\in\mathbb{R}$*, are equicontinuous in* H_m*.*

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove the uniform bounds

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|\mathcal{Q}^\nu_t(\psi,\psi)| \le C\|\psi\|_m^2, \quad \psi\in H_m. \qquad (6.12)$$

Note that $\mathcal{Q}^\nu_t(\psi,\psi) = \sum\limits_{n,n'=1}^d \langle q_{0,nn'}(x-y), W'_n(t)\psi_n(x)\otimes W'_{n'}(t)\psi_{n'}(y)\rangle$. Then by Remark 2.9 we have

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|\mathcal{Q}^\nu_t(\psi,\psi)| \le C\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\sum_{n=1}^d \|W'_n(t)\psi_n\|^2_{L^2} \le C\|\psi\|_m^2,$$

since in the Fourier transform we have

$$F_{x\to k}[W_n'(t)\psi] = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos\omega_n(k)t & -\omega_n(k)\sin\omega_n(k)t \\ \omega_n^{-1}(k)\sin\omega_n(k)t & \cos\omega_n(k)t \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} \hat\psi^0(k) \\ \hat\psi^1(k) \end{array}\right), \quad \text{for } \psi=(\psi^0,\psi^1).$$

(ii) By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (6.12) we obtain that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |\hat\nu_t(\psi_1)-\hat\nu_t(\psi_2)| &=& |\int \Big(e^{i\langle\phi^0,\psi_1\rangle}-e^{i\langle\phi^0,\psi_2\rangle}\Big)\nu_t(d\phi^0)| \le \int |e^{i\langle\phi^0,\psi_1-\psi_2\rangle}-1|\nu_t(d\phi^0) \\ &\le& \int |\langle\phi^0,\psi_1-\psi_2\rangle|\nu_t(d\phi^0) \le \sqrt{\int |\langle\phi^0,\psi_1-\psi_2\rangle|^2\nu_t(d\phi^0)} \\ &=& \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_t^\nu(\psi_1-\psi_2,\psi_1-\psi_2)} \le C\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_m. \qquad \blacksquare \end{array}$$

Since $\psi^Z\in H_m$, Proposition 3.3 of [1] (or Proposition 3.2 of [2]) and Lemma 6.5, (ii) yield

$$\hat\nu_t(\psi^Z)\to\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty^\nu(\psi^Z,\psi^Z)\} \ \text{ as } \ t\to\infty,$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty^\nu$ is defined by (2.12). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.11. $\blacksquare$

6.3 Convergence of correlation functions

Proposition 6.6 *Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.11 be fulfilled. Then, for* $Z_1,Z_2\in\mathcal{D}$,

$$E\left(\langle Y(t),Z_1\rangle\langle Y(t),Z_2\rangle\right)\to\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z_1,Z_2), \ \ t\to\infty. \tag{6.13}$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the convergence of $E|\langle Y(t),Z\rangle|^2$ to a limit as $t\to\infty$. From Corollary 6.2 it follows that, for $Z\in\mathcal{D}$,

$$E|\langle Y(t),Z\rangle|^2 = E|\langle W(t)\phi^0,\psi^Z\rangle|^2+o(1)=\mathcal{Q}_t^\nu(\psi^Z,\psi^Z)+o(1), \ \ t\to\infty,$$

where ψ^Z is defined in (2.13) and $\psi^Z\in H_m$. Therefore, Proposition 6.2 of [1] (or Lemma 4.4 of [2]) and Lemma 6.5, (i) imply that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathcal{Q}_t^\nu(\psi^Z,\psi^Z)=\mathcal{Q}_\infty^\nu(\psi^Z,\psi^Z)$. Formula (2.17) implies (6.13). $\blacksquare$

Acknowledgement
T.D. thanks Prof. A. Komech for helpful discussions.

7 Appendix: Time decay of $q(t)$, $p(t)$: Case $m\ne 0$

Here we prove the following result, which is a modification of Lemma 17.1 of [11].

Theorem 7.1 *Let* $m\ne 0$, *the conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold, and* $Y_0\in E$ *with* $\phi^0(x)=0$ *for* $|x|>R_1$. *Then* $q(t)$ *and* $p(t)$ *are continuous and the following bound holds*

$$|q(t)|+|p(t)|\le C(1+t)^{-3/2}, \quad t\ge 0.$$

Ar first, we rewrite the system (4.4)–(4.7) in the form

$$(\mathcal{A}-\lambda)\tilde{Y}(\lambda)=-Y_0,\quad \operatorname{Re}\lambda>0, \tag{7.1}$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_0+B$ and the operators $\mathcal{A}_0$, B are defined in (3.3). Hence, the solution $\tilde{Y}$ is given by

$$\tilde{Y}(\lambda)=-(\mathcal{A}-\lambda)^{-1}Y_0,\quad \operatorname{Re}\lambda>0,$$

if the resolvent $R(\lambda)=(\mathcal{A}-\lambda)^{-1}$ exists for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda>0$.

Proposition 7.2 *The operator-valued function* $R(\lambda):E\to E$ *is analytic for* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda>0$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the operator $(\mathcal{A}-\lambda):E\to E$ has a bounded inverse operator for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda>0$.

Let us prove that $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{A}-\lambda)=0$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda>0$. Indeed, let $\tilde{Y}(\lambda)\in E$ is a solution (7.1) with $Y_0=0$. The function $Y(t)=\tilde{Y}(\lambda)e^{\lambda t}\in C(\mathbb{R},E)$ is the solution to the equation $\dot{Y}=\mathcal{A}Y$. Then the Hamiltonian $H(Y(t))=e^{2\lambda t}H(\tilde{Y}(\lambda))$ grows exponentially by (3.4). This grow contradicts (3.1). Hence, (3.4) implies that $\tilde{\pi}(x,\lambda)=0$, $\tilde{p}(\lambda)=0$. Equations (4.4) and (4.6) imply that $\tilde{\varphi}(x,\lambda)=0$ and $\tilde{q}(\lambda)=0$ because $\lambda\neq 0$.

Remember that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_0+B$ (see (3.3)), where the operator B is finite-dimensional and the operator $\mathcal{A}_0^{-1}$ is bounded in E. We rewrite

$$\mathcal{A}-\lambda=(\mathcal{A}_0-\lambda)(I+(\mathcal{A}_0-\lambda)^{-1}B),$$

where $(\mathcal{A}_0-\lambda)^{-1}B$ is a compact operator. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(I+(\mathcal{A}_0-\lambda)^{-1}B)=0$, the operator $I+(\mathcal{A}_0-\lambda)^{-1}B$ is invertible by the Fredholm theory. ■

Denote by $g_{\lambda,n}$ the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta+m_n^2+\lambda^2$,

$$g_{\lambda,n}(y)=\frac{e^{-\kappa_n|y|}}{4\pi|y|},\quad n\in\bar{d}, \tag{7.2}$$

where $\kappa_n^2=m_n^2+\lambda^2$, $\operatorname{Re}\kappa_n>0$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda>0$. From (4.4)–(4.7) it follows that

$$M(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{q}(\lambda)\\ \tilde{p}(\lambda)\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}q^0\\ \bar{p}^0(\lambda)\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{p}^0(\lambda)=p^0-\sum\limits_{n=1}^{d}\langle g_{\lambda,n}*(\lambda\tilde{\varphi}_n^0(\lambda)+\tilde{\pi}_n^0(\lambda)),\nabla\rho_n\rangle$,

$$M(\lambda):=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda I & -I\\ \omega^2 I-H(\lambda) & \lambda I\end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.3}$$

and the matrix $H(\lambda)$ is defined in (4.10). The entries of $H(\lambda)$ are of the form

$$H_{ij}(\lambda)=\sum_{n=1}^{d}\int\nabla_i\rho_n(y)(g_{\lambda,n}*\nabla_j\rho_n)(y)\,dy=\sum_{n=1}^{d}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}_n(k)|^2}{k^2+m_n^2+\lambda^2}\,dk. \tag{7.4}$$

The following result is proved in [11, p.351].

Lemma 7.3 *(i) The operator* $-\Delta + m_n^2 + \lambda^2$ *is invertible in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ *and its fundamental solution (7.2) decays exponentially as* $|y| \to \infty$ *.*

(ii) For every fixed $y \neq 0$ *, the Green function* $g_{\lambda,n}(y)$ *admits an analytic continuation (in variable* λ *) to the Riemann surface of the algebraic function* $\sqrt{\lambda^2 + m_n^2}$ *with the branching points* $\pm i m_n$ *if* $m_n \neq 0$ *.*

Lemma 7.3 and notation (7.3) imply that $M(\lambda)$ admits an analytic continuation from the domain $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ on the Riemann surface with the branching points $\pm i m_n$ with $m_n \neq 0$, $n \in \bar{d}$. Moreover, the matrix $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ exists for large λ. It follows from (7.3) since $H(\lambda) \to 0$ as $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \to \infty$ by (7.4).

Corollary 7.4 *(i) The matrix* $M(\lambda)$ *is invertible for* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ *, and*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q}(\lambda) \\ \tilde{p}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} q^0 \\ \bar{p}^0(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0. \tag{7.5}$$

(ii) The matrix $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ *admits meromorphic continuation from the domain* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ *to the Riemann surface with the branching points* $\pm i m_n$ *with* $m_n \neq 0$ *,* $n \in \bar{d}$ *.*

Now we investigate the limit values of $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ at the imaginary axis $\lambda = ix$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $d = 2$ and $0 < m_1 < m_2$. The other cases can be considered similarly. The limit matrix

$$M(ix + 0) = \begin{pmatrix} ixI & -I \\ \omega^2 I - H(ix + 0) & ixI \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{7.6}$$

exists, and its entries are continuous functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, smooth for $|x| < m_1$, $m_1 < |x| < m_2$, and $|x| > m_2$.

Lemma 7.5 *The limit matrix* $M(ix + 0)$ *is invertible for* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *.*

Proof. (i) Let $|x| \leq m_1$. Then the matrix $(\omega^2 - x^2)I - H(ix + 0)$ is positive definite. Indeed, for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, by the condition **A1** with $m_* = m_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} v \cdot ((\omega^2 - x^2)I - H(ix + 0))v &= (\omega^2 - x^2)|v|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{d} (2\pi)^{-3} \int \frac{(k \cdot v)^2 |\hat{\rho}_n(k)|^2 \, dk}{k^2 + m_n^2 - x^2} \\ &\geq (\omega^2 - m_1^2)|v|^2 - v \cdot Kv > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $m_1 < |x| \leq m_2$. Then $v \cdot \operatorname{Im} H(ix + 0)v \neq 0$ for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed,

$$\operatorname{Im} H_{ij}(ix + 0) = \operatorname{Im} (2\pi)^{-3} \int \frac{k_i k_j |\hat{\rho}_1(k)|^2 \, dk}{k^2 + m_1^2 - (x - i0)^2}.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the function

$$h_{ij}(ix + \varepsilon) = \int \frac{k_i k_j |\hat{\rho}_1(k)|^2}{k^2 + m_1^2 - (x - i\varepsilon)^2} \, dk, \quad |x| > m_1.$$

Denote $D_\varepsilon(k) = k^2 + m_1^2 - (x - i\varepsilon)^2$. For $|x| > m_1$, $D_0(k) = 0$ if $|k| = \sqrt{x^2 - m_1^2}$. We fix a small $\delta > 0$ and introduce a cutoff function $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\zeta(k) \geq 0$,

$\zeta(k)=1$ when $|D_0(k)|<\delta$ and $\zeta(k)=0$ when $|D_0(k)|\ge 2\delta$. Note that $\operatorname{Im} h_{ij}(ix+0)=\operatorname{Im} h^\delta_{ij}(ix+0)$, where

$$h^\delta_{ij}(ix+0)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int \zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat\rho_1(k)|^2}{D_\varepsilon(k)}\,dk.$$

Denote $a(k)=\sqrt{k^2+m_1^2}$. Assume that $x>0$. Since

$$\frac{1}{D_\varepsilon(k)}=\frac{1}{2a(k)(a(k)-x+i\varepsilon)}+\frac{1}{2a(k)(a(k)+x-i\varepsilon)},$$

$\operatorname{Im} h^\delta_{ij}(ix+0)=\operatorname{Im} h^\delta_-(ix+0)$, where

$$h^\delta_-(ix+\varepsilon):=\int \zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat\rho_1(k)|^2}{2a(k)(a(k)-x+i\varepsilon)}\,dk.$$

We rewrite $h^\delta_-(ix+\varepsilon)$ as

$$h^\delta_-(ix+\varepsilon)=\int\frac{g(\alpha)}{\alpha+i\varepsilon}\,d\alpha,\quad g(\alpha)=\int\limits_{a(k)-x=\alpha}\zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat\rho_1(k)|^2}{2a(k)|\nabla a(k)|}\,dS.$$

Hence $\operatorname{Im} h^\delta_-(ix+0)=-\pi g(0)$ by the Plemelj formula. Finally, for $x>m_1>0$,

$$\operatorname{Im} h_{ij}(ix+0)=-\pi\int\limits_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m_1^2}}\frac{k_ik_j|\hat\rho_1(k)|^2}{2|k|}\,dS.$$

Hence, applying condition **A3** we obtain that, for $m_1<|x|\le m_2$,

$$v\cdot\operatorname{Im} H(ix+0)v=-\operatorname{sign}(x)\pi(2\pi)^{-3}\int\limits_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m_1^2}}\frac{(v\cdot k)^2|\hat\rho_1(k)|^2}{2|k|}\,dS\ne 0.$$

(iii) Let $|x|>m_2$. In this case we find

$$v\cdot\operatorname{Im} H(ix+0)v=-\operatorname{sign}(x)\pi\sum_{n=1}^{2}(2\pi)^{-3}\int\limits_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m_n^2}}\frac{(v\cdot k)^2|\hat\rho_n(k)|^2}{2|k|}\,dS\ne 0.$$

In general case $d=1,2,\dots,$ we enumerate $m_1,\dots,m_d$ in the increasing order, $0\le m_1\le m_2\le\ldots\le m_d$. If $m_k\ne m_{k+1}$ and $m_k<|x|\le m_{k+1}$,

$$v\cdot\operatorname{Im} H(ix+0)v=-\operatorname{sign}(x)\pi\sum_{n=1}^{k}(2\pi)^{-3}\int\limits_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m_n^2}}\frac{(v\cdot k)^2|\hat\rho_n(k)|^2}{2|k|}\,dS\ne 0 \qquad (7.7)$$

by condition **A3**. For $|x|>m_d$, the formula (7.7) holds with $k=d$. ■

Remark 7.6 *We use condition* **A3** *only in the estimate (7.7). Hence, instead of condition* **A3** *it suffices to assume that for any* $v\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}$ *and* $x>0$

$$\sum_{n:\,m_n<x}\Big(|k|^3\int\limits_{|\theta|=1}(v\cdot\theta)^2|\hat\rho_n(|k|\theta)|^2\,dS_\theta\Big)\Big|_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m_n^2}}\ne 0.$$

Corollary 7.7 *The matrix* $M^{-1}(ix+0)$ *is smooth w.r.t.* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *outside the points* $x = \pm i m_l$ *with* $m_l \neq 0$.

Lemma 7.8 *(i) The matrix* $M^{-1}(ix+0)$ *admits the following Puiseux expansion in a neighborhood of* $\pm i m_l$ *(*$m_l \neq 0$*): there exists an* $\varepsilon_\pm > 0$ *such that*

$$M^{-1}(ix+0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{\pm}(x \mp m_l)^{k/2}, \quad |x \mp m_l| < \varepsilon_\pm, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7.8}$$

(ii) There exists a matrix R_0 *and a matrix-valued function* $R_1(x)$ *such that*

$$M^{-1}(ix+0) = \frac{1}{x} R_0 + R_1(x), \quad |x| > \max_n m_n + 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{7.9}$$

where $|\partial_x^k R_1(x)| \le C_k/|x|^2$ *for* $|x| > \max_n m_n + 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Proof. (i) Formula (7.8) follows from (7.2) and (7.4).

(ii) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B_R$. Then (see formula (16.7) of [11])

$$\|\partial_x^k[-\Delta + m_n^2 + (ix+0)^2]^{-1} f\|_{L^2(B_R)} \le \frac{C_k(R)}{|x|}\|f\|_{L^2(B_R)}, \quad |x| \ge m_n + 1,$$

for every $R > 0$. Therefore (see (4.10)) we obtain that $|\partial_x^k H_{ij}(ix+0)| \le C_k/|x|$ for $|x| > \max_n m_n + 1$. Then formula (7.6) implies (7.9). ■

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Applying (7.5) we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} q(t) \\ p(t) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ixt} M^{-1}(ix+0) \begin{pmatrix} q^0 \\ \bar{p}^0(ix+0) \end{pmatrix} dx. \tag{7.10}$$

Without loss of generality, let us assume that $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_d$. We split the Fourier integral (7.10) into $d+1$ terms by using the partition of unity $\zeta_0(x) + \ldots + \zeta_d(x) = 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} q(t) \\ p(t) \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ixt}(\zeta_0(x) + \ldots + \zeta_d(x)) M^{-1}(ix+0) \begin{pmatrix} q^0 \\ \bar{p}^0 \end{pmatrix} dx \\ &= I_0(t) + \ldots + I_d(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $\zeta_k(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ are supported by

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{supp} \zeta_0 \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| > m_d + 1 \text{ or } |x| < m_1/2\}, \\ &\operatorname{supp} \zeta_1 \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : m_1/3 < |x| < (m_1 + m_2)/2\}, \\ &\operatorname{supp} \zeta_2 \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : m_2 - 2(m_2 - m_1)/3 < |x| < (m_2 + m_3)/2\}, \ldots, \\ &\operatorname{supp} \zeta_d \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : m_d - 2(m_d - m_{d-1})/3 < |x| < m_d + 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (i) the function $I_0(t) \in C[0, +\infty)$ decays faster than any power of t due to Lemma 7.8, and (ii) the functions $I_k(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$, decay like $(1+|t|)^{-3/2}$ by virtue to (7.8). Theorem 7.1 is proved. ■

Corollary 7.9 *Let* $m \neq 0$ *and conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then*

$$|\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(t)| \le C(1+|t|)^{-3/2}, \ \ j = 0, 1, \tag{7.11}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(t)$ *is defined in (4.17) and (4.12). This bound can be proved by similar way as Theorem 7.1.*

References

[1] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., Kopylova, E.A., and Suhov, Yu.M., On convergence to equilibrium distribution, I. The Klein-Gordon equation with mixing, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **225** (2002), no.1, 1-32.

[2] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., Ratanov, N.E., Suhov, Yu.M., On convergence to equilibrium distribution, II. The wave equation in odd dimensions, with mixing, *J. Stat. Phys.* **108** (2002), no.4, 1219-1253.

[3] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., Spohn, H., On a two-temperature problem for wave equation, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **8** (2002), 43-80.

[4] Dudnikova, T., Komech, A., Spohn, H., On the convergence to statistical equilibrium for harmonic crystals, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003), 2596-2620.

[5] Dudnikova, T., Komech, A., Mauser, N., Two-temperature problem for harmonic crystal, *J. Stat. Phys.* **114** (2004), no.3/4, 1035-1083.

[6] Dudnikova, T., Komech, A., On a two-temperature problem for the Klein-Gordon equation, *Theory Probability and Appl.* 50 (2005), no.4, 675-710 [Russian] (English translation: Theory Prob. Appl. 50 (2006), no.4, 582-611).

[7] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech A.I., On the convergence to a statistical equilibrium in the crystal coupled to a scalar field, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **12** (2005), no.3, 301-325.

[8] Hörmander, L., *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III: Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[9] Ibragimov, I.A., Linnik, Yu.V., *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971.

[10] Komech, A., Spohn, H., Kunze, M., Long-time asymptotics for a classical particle interacting with a scalar wave field, *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations* **22** (1997), 307-335.

[11] Imaikin, V., Komech, A., Vainberg, B., On scattering of solitons for the Klein - Gordon equation coupled to a particle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **268** (2006), no.3, 321-367.

[12] Gel'fand, I.M., Shilov, G.E., *Generalized Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

[13] Rosenblatt, M.A., A central limit theorem and a strong mixing condition, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42** (1956), no.1, 43-47.